arXiv:1207.2273v1 [math.NT] 10 Jul 2012

BIELLIPTIC AND HYPERELLIPTIC MODULAR CURVES $X(N)$ AND THE GROUP $\mathrm{Aut}(X(N))$.

FRANCESC BARS, ARISTIDES KONTOGEORGIS AND XAVIER XARLES

Dedicated to the memory of T. Momose

Abstract. This note has two main facets, first we determine all modular curves $X(N)$ (with $N \geq 7$) which are hyperelliptic or bielliptic. As a consequence, the set $\Gamma_2(X(N), M) := \{P \in X(N)(L) | [L : M] \leq 2\}$ is not finite for some number field M if and only if $N = 7$ or $N = 8$. Moreover, we obtain that $\Gamma_2(X(N), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$ is always finite where ζ_N is an N-th primitive root of unity, hence, in particular, the number of quadratic points is finite for any model X_N over $\mathbb{Q}$ of $X(N)$. Secondly we make available a proof that the automorphism group of $X(N)$ coincides with the normalizer of $\Gamma(N)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ modulo $\pm\Gamma(N)$, in particular no exceptional automorphisms appear for $X(N)$.

1. Introduction

Let C be a non-singular curve of genus greater than one, defined over a number field K. Mordell's conjecture, proved by Faltings, states that the number of K-rational points of C, $C(K)$, is always finite. In order to generalize this, it is natural to consider the set

$$\Gamma_d(C, K) = \{P \in C(L) | [L : K] \leq d\}$$

of points of degree d of C. For quadratic points, that is, $d = 2$, Abramovich and Harris show that the existence of infinitely many such points over a finite extension of some number field K is equivalent to the fact that the curve C is either hyperelliptic or bielliptic, i.e. that C has a degree two map to either a projective line or an elliptic curve, respectively, over an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K.

The modular curves $X(N)$ as Riemann surfaces are obtained by completing with the cusps the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ modulo the modular subgroup

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Let us also denote the (non-complete) Riemann surface $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma(N)$ (without the cusps) by $Y(N)$.

The curve $X(N)$ is a modular curve whose non-cusp points (the ones of $Y(N)$) parameterize elliptic curves E with a full level N-structure, where a level N-structure means an identification of the group scheme $E[N]$ of N-torsion points with some fixed group scheme T_N. The natural choice of $T_N = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ can only happen if the considered field contain all the N-roots of unity. We define the curve $X(N)$ as the modular curve defined over the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ by this moduli problem, where we denote by ζ_N a primitive N-root of unity. For any choice

---

F.Bars and X.Xarles are partially supported by grant MTM2009-10359.

of finite flat group scheme T_N over a number field K verifying the natural conditions $T_N(\mathbb{C}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $\bigwedge T_N \cong \mu_N$ (there are infinitely many choices!) the models obtained become isomorphic in an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. In this work we study when some of these models of the modular curves $X(N)$ admit infinitely many quadratic points over some number field. By using the result of Abramovich and Harris, we only need to find all the hyperelliptic and bielliptic curves over an algebraic closure, so it is independent of the chosen model.

If we restrict to the (finite) different non-singular projective curves X_N over $\mathbb{Q}$ which become isomorphic to $X(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, for example the model obtained in [28], we prove in section 4 that any such model X_N satisfies that $\Gamma_2(X_N, M)$ is always finite for any field M between $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, if $N > 6$.

This work increase the works on families of modular curves that are hyperelliptic or bielliptic, initiated by the modular curves $X_0(N)$ by [22] and [3] and followed by the modular curves $X_1(N)$ by [12] and [13], $X_1(N, M)$ by [12] and [14] and for $X_\Delta(N)$ by [12] and [15], where we recall that we have finite natural morphisms:

$$X(N) \to X_1(N) \to X_\Delta(N) \to X_0(N).$$

Another important facet for $X(N)$ is to explicitly compute the group $\mathrm{Aut}(X(N))$ over the algebraic closure, (we recall that is known that if C is bielliptic or hyperelliptic has a very special involution in $\mathrm{Aut}\,(C)$, but we will not need this approach to obtain the exact list of bielliptic or hyperelliptic curves of $X(N)$).

We recall that for a modular curve X with modular group $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the quotient of the normalizer of Γ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by $\pm\Gamma$ gives a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\,(X)$, we write this subgroup $Norm(\Gamma)/\pm\Gamma$. This normalizer can be computed explicitly for different classical modular curves: for the modular group of $X_0(N)$ is from Newman [21] (see also [2][4]), for $X_1(N)$ one can consult [19] and for $X(N)$ it follows easily following the proof of $X_1(N)$ in [19] or see also §2 for the result.

A deep question is to determine when $Norm(\Gamma)/\pm\Gamma$ coincides with the full group of automorphisms of the corresponding modular curve X and an automorphism $v \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) \setminus (Norm(\Gamma)/\pm\Gamma)$ is called exceptional. This was solved for $X_0(N)$ in [18] (see also for $N = 63$ [8] and the correction for $N = 108$ in [11]; this correction does not affect the results in [3]); in particular there are exceptional automorphism only for $N = 37, 63$ and 108.

For the modular curves $X_1(N)$ and $X_\Delta(N)$, under some conditions, like N being square-free, Momose in [20] proved that there are no excepcional automorphism; unfortunately [20] is not available in the literature yet.

Finally, let us explain briefly the history for the case of $\mathrm{Aut}(X(N))$. J.P. Serre in a letter to B. Mazur [25] computed that the automorphism groups of the modular curves $X(p)$ for p prime $p \geq 7$, are isomorphic to the simple groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p)$. Back in 1997, in a conference held in Sant Feliu de Guíxols, the second author met G. Cornelissen, who wanted to compute the automorphism group of the Drinfeld modular curves [7, sec. 10] and asked if there is a generalization for composite N. After finishing the computation, the second author communicated the generalization to J.P. Serre, who answered that the theorem he proved in the letter to Mazur and the generalization for composite N should be known to the pioners of modular forms; but we were not able to find a reference in the literature.

Since there is new interest (see [5],[24]) on the automorphisms of the modular curves $X(N)$ and we are not aware of any reference for this computation we believe that writing down a proof might be useful for the mathematical community.

2. The automorphic group of $X(N)$

The curve $X(1)$ is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$, and the cover $X(N) \rightarrow X(1)$ is Galois with Galois group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. The degree of this cover equals to

$$\mu_N := \begin{cases} N^3/2 \prod_{p|N}(1-p^{-2}) & \text{if } N > 2 \\ 6 & \text{if } N = 2 \end{cases}.$$

Moreover the genus g_N of $X(N)$ is equal [26, p. 23]

$$g_N = 1 + \mu_N \frac{N-6}{12N}. \tag{1}$$

We see that the curves $X(2), X(3), X(4), X(5)$ are rational, while the curve $X(6)$ is elliptic. All other curves have genus greater than two and their automorphism groups are bounded by Hurwitz bound:

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(X(N)| \leq 84(g_N - 1). \tag{2}$$

It is also known that in the cover $X(N) \rightarrow X(1)$ exactly three points of $X(1)$, are ramified, namely $j(i), j(\omega), j(\infty)$ with ramification indices $2, 3$ and N. The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 1. *The automorphism group of $X(N)$ for values N such that $g_N \geq 2$ equals* $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 2. *If* $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}X(N)$ *then* $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Aut}X(N)$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}X(N)$ we can restrict automorphisms in $\mathrm{Aut}X(N)$ to automorphisms of $X(1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and these automorphisms should fix the three ramification points. Therefore the restriction is the identity. □

Let m be the index of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Gal}(X(N)/X(1))$ in $\mathrm{Aut}X(N)$. The equation (1) for $N \neq 2$ can be written as

$$84(g_N - 1) = |\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})| \left(7 - \frac{42}{N}\right) \tag{3}$$

and this combined with (2) gives the following bounds for the index m:

$$\begin{array}{lcr} m \leq 2 & \text{for} & 7 \leq N < 11 \\ m \leq 3 & \text{for} & 11 \leq N < 14 \\ m \leq 4 & \text{for} & 14 \leq N < 21 \\ m < 7 & \text{for} & 21 \leq N \end{array}. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, for $7 \leq N < 11$ we have $\mathrm{Aut}X(N) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by lemma 2.

Lemma 3. *Consider the coset decomposition*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X(N)) = a_1\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \cup \cdots \cup a_m\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

and define the representation

$$\beta : \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow S_m$$

by sending

$$\sigma \mapsto \{\sigma a_1\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}), \sigma a_2\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}), ..., \sigma a_m\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Then $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}X(N)$ *if and only if β is the trivial homomorphism.*

Lemma 4. *If $N = p$ is prime, $p \geq 7$ then $\beta = 1$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ is simple we have $\ker\beta$ is either $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ or $\{1\}$. The last case is impossible since there are no elements of order p in S_m, for $m \leq 6$. ☐

Let us now consider the curves $X(p^e)$, where p is prime, $p \geq 7$.

Lemma 5. *For* $X(p^e)$ *we have* $\mathrm{Aut}X(p^e) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,p^e)$

Proof. We will prove that $\beta = 1$ for the map β defined in lemma 3. We consider the following tower of covers

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(p^e) \arrow[d, "H"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "\mathrm{PSL}(2{,}\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z})"'] \\
X(p) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{PSL}(2{,}p)"] \\
X(1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider $H := \mathrm{Gal}(X(p^e)/X(p))$; then $|H| = p^{3(e-1)}$, and, since $p \geq 7$, we have $H < \ker\beta$. Therefore we can define the homomorphism $\tilde{\beta}$ so that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d] & S_m \\
\mathrm{PSL}\,(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,p) \arrow[ur, "\tilde{\beta}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$ is simple we obtain $\tilde{\beta} = 1$ and the same holds for β. ☐

Corollary 6. *Let N be a composite integer prime to* $2,3,5$. *Then* $\mathrm{Aut}X(N) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The homomorphism β is trivial in this case as well, since

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_i}\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $N = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{a_i}$ is the decomposition of N in primes. ☐

In order to study the general case for N we will need better bounds for the index

$$m := [\mathrm{Aut}X(N) : \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}].$$

We consider the tower of covers

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(N) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{PSL}(2{,}\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})"] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "\mathrm{Aut}(X(N))"'] & {} \arrow[d, dash, "2"'] & {} \arrow[d, dash, "3"'] & {} \arrow[d, dash, "N"'] \\
X(1) \arrow[d] & j(i) & j(\omega) & j(\infty) \\
X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)} & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Observe that if $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is not a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}X(N)$ then the cover $X(1)\cong\mathbb{P}^1\to X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ is not Galois. From the proof of Hurwitz bound (2) for the size of the automorphism group of an algebraic curve found in [9, p. 260] we see that if the number r of points of $X(1)$ ramified in the cover $X(N)\to X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ is $r>3$ then Hurwitz bound is improved to

$$|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\leq 12(g_N-1).$$

This proves that $m\leq 1$, so $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})\lhd\mathrm{Aut}X(N)$, a contradiction.

Therefore the number of ramified points is $r=3$. Hurwitz bound now for $X(N)\longmapsto X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ gives

$$2(g_N-1)=|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\left(1-\frac{1}{\nu_1}+1-\frac{1}{\nu_2}+1-\frac{1}{\nu_3}-2\right), \tag{5}$$

where ν_i are the ramification indices of the ramified points. We distinguished the following cases:

Case 1 The three points $j(i),j(\omega),j(\infty)$ restrict to different points p_1,p_2,p_3 with ramification indices $e(j(i)/p_1)=\kappa, e(j(\omega)/p_2)=\lambda, e(j(\infty)/p_3)=\mu$. Equation (5) in this case is written

$$\begin{aligned}2(g_N-1) &= |\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\left(1-\frac{1}{2\kappa}+1-\frac{1}{3\lambda}+1-\frac{1}{N\mu}-2\right)\geq\\ &\geq |\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\,(1-1/2+1-1/3+1-1/N-2)\geq\\ &\geq |\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\,(1/6-1/N)\end{aligned}$$

which in turn gives the desired result

$$|\mathrm{Aut}(X)|\leq\frac{12N}{N-6}(g_N-1)=\mu_N.$$

Case 2. Some of the three points $j(i),j(\omega),j(\infty)$ restrict to the same point $X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$. We will consider the case $11\leq N$. The points $j(i)$ and $j(\infty)$ could not restrict to the same point of $X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$. Since the cover $X(N)\to X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ is Galois we should have $2\kappa=N\lambda$, but the degree of the cover $X(1)\to X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ is at most 6, so $\kappa\leq 6,\lambda=1$, and this means that $j(i)$ and $j(\infty)$ could not restrict to the same point, unless $N\leq 12$. But if $N\leq 12$ then $m\leq 3$, so $N\leq 6$, which contradicts $N\geq 11$. Using the same argument we can show that the points $j(\omega)$ and $j(\infty)$ restrict to different points of $X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$.

Let us now suppose that $j(i)$ and $j(\omega)$ restrict to the same point of $X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$. There should be another point p of $X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ which ramifies only in the cover $X(1)\to X(N)^{\mathrm{Aut}X(N)}$ with ramification index $2\leq\nu\leq 6$. Hurwitz bound implies

$$2(g_N-1)=|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\left(1-\frac{1}{6\psi}+1-\frac{1}{\phi N}+1-\frac{1}{\nu}-2\right)\overset{\nu\geq 2,\psi=1\text{ or }2}{\geq}$$

$$|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\overset{N\geq 11}{\geq}|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{11}\right)$$

which gives

$$|\mathrm{Aut}X(N)|\leq 33/4\,(g_N-1)$$

and in turn gives the desired result $m\leq 1$.

Remark 7. *It is interesting to point out that since $X(2), X(4), X(3), X(5)$, are rational curves, the classification of finite subgroups of the rational function field implies the well known result*

$$\mathrm{PSL}(2,2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \cong S_4, \mathrm{PSL}(2,3) \cong A_4, \mathrm{PSL}(2,5) \cong A_5$$

Recall that Aut $(\mathbb{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and $\Gamma(N)$ is torsion-free if $N \geq 5$, thus the automorphism group of $Y(N) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(N)$ is the quotient of the normalizer of $\Gamma(N)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by $\pm\Gamma(N)$,

Corollary 8. *For $N \geq 7$ we have that* $\mathrm{Aut}\,(Y(N)) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1$ *and the order of the group of automorphisms of $Y(N)$ is given by*

$$\frac{1}{2}N\varphi(N)\psi(N)$$

where $\varphi(N) := N\prod_{p|N}(1-p^{-1})$ and $\psi(N) := N\prod_{p|N}(1+p^{-1})$ with p prime.

In particular the normalizer of $\Gamma(N)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ *is given by* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *and* $Norm(\Gamma(N))/\pm\Gamma(N) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$*.*

Proof. Clearly for $N \geq 5$, $\Gamma(N) \leq \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \leq Norm(\Gamma(N)) \leq \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\pm\Gamma(N) \leq Norm(\Gamma(N))/\pm\Gamma(N) = \mathrm{Aut}(Y(N)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(X(N))$$

but $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\pm\Gamma(N)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(X(N))$ for $N \geq 7$ therefore the result. □

Remark 9. *Following the proof for computing $Norm(\Gamma_1(N))$ in* [19] *one can easily deduce that for $N \geq 5$ that* $\mathrm{Aut}(Y(N)) \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ *and the normalizer of* $\Gamma(N)$ *in* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ *is* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$*.*

3. Hyperelliptic and bielliptic modular curves $X(N)$

Recall that a non-singular projective curve C over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is hyperelliptic if it has an involution $v \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(C)$, called hyperelliptic involution, which fixes $2g_C + 2$ points, where g_C is the genus of C. The involution v, if exists, is unique if $g_C \geq 2$. The curve C is bielliptic if has an involution $w \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(C)$ which fix $2g_C - 2$ points. This involution, named bielliptic, is unique if $g_C \geq 6$.

In this section we want to determine exactly when $X(N)$ is hyperelliptic or bielliptic, if it has genus larger than 1, that is, $N \geq 7$.

Theorem 10. *For $N \geq 7$ the modular curve $X(N)$ is non-hyperelliptic.*

Theorem 11. *For $N \geq 7$ the modular curve $X(N)$ is bielliptic only when $N = 7$ or $N = 8$.*

Corollary 12. *The only modular curves $X(N)$ of genus ≥ 2 such that exist a number field L where the set $\Gamma_2(X(N), L)$ is not finite are for $N = 7$ or $N = 8$.*

Proof. The set $\Gamma_2(X(N), L)$ is not finite for some number field if $X(N)$ is hyperelliptic or bielliptic curve by [1]. □

We are going to show the theorems in two distinct ways, by using the known results on $X_1(N)$ in the first, and the ones on $X_0(N)$ in the second, together with the natural Galois cover of degree N $\phi : X(N) \to X_1(N)$ and the natural morphism $\psi : X(N) \to X_0(N^2)$ of degree $\varphi(N)/2$, if $N > 2$.

Recall first that the genus of $X_1(N)$ is equal to 0 exactly if $N \leq 12$, $N \neq 11$ and is equal to 1 exactly if $N = 11, 14$ or 15.

Theorem 13 (Ishii-Momose, [12]). *The only hyperelliptic curves $X_1(N)$ with $g_{X_1(N)} \geq 2$ are $X_1(13), X_1(16)$ and $X_1(18)$.*

Theorem 14 (Jeon-Kim, [13]). *The curve $X_1(N)$ with $g_{X_1(N)} \geq 2$ is bielliptic exactly for $N = 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22$ and 24.*

Recall now that the curve $X_0(N^2)$ has genus > 1 if and only if $N \geq 8$.

Theorem 15 (Ogg-Bars, [22] [3]). *The curve $X_0(N^2)$ with $g_{X_0(N^2)} \geq 2$ is never hyperelliptic, and it is bielliptic exactly for $N = 8$ and 9.*

Finally, we recall the construction of the natural map from $X(N)$ to $X_0(N^2)$, which we do not know a precise reference (see, however, the section 11.3.5. in [17], for the case $N = p^n$, p a prime).

Lemma 16. *Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there exists two non-constant independent morphisms of curves $X(N) \to X_1(N)$ of degree N and a morphisms of curves $X(N) \to X_0(N^2)$ of degree $\varphi(N)/2$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, making the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & X(N) \arrow[dll, bend right] \arrow[d] \arrow[drr, bend left] & & \\
 & & X_0(N^2) \arrow[ddl] \arrow[ddr] & & \\
X_1(N) \arrow[d] & & & & X_1(N) \arrow[dl] \\
X_0(N) \arrow[r, leftrightarrow, "\omega_N"] \arrow[drr] & X_0(N) & & X_0(N) \arrow[dl] & \\
 & & X(1) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ω_N denotes the Atkin-Lehner involution, the left hand side of the diagram corresponding to the usual maps.

Proof. The existence of the maps to $X_1(N)$ are well known, and they can be interpreted in the moduli space $Y(N)$ as the map sending the point in $Y(N)$ form by an elliptic curve E and a basis P_1 and P_2 of the N-torsion points to the points P_1 and P_2, respectively. It is also well known that they correspond to quotient out $X(N)$ with respect to the subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ formed by $\Gamma_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and a conjugation, $\Gamma^1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Now we consider the map from $X(N)$ to $X_0(N^2)$. On the complex numbers can be done by observing that

$$\Gamma(N) \leq U \Gamma_0(N^2) U^{-1}$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/N \end{pmatrix}.$$

The map on gets can be interpreted in the moduli problem by sending the point in $Y(N)$ form by an elliptic curve E and a basis P_1 and P_2 of the N-torsion points to the N^2-isogeny obtained composing the dual of the N-isogeny $E \to E/\langle P_1\rangle$ with the N-isogeny $E \to E/\langle P_2\rangle$.

The morphism can also be interpreted as the natural map from $X(N)$ to $X(N)/C$, where C is the full Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ (formed by the diagonal matrices). The assertion about the degree is then easy. The map on the left, because it comes from $\Gamma^1(N)$, need to be composed with the full Atkin-Lehner involution ω_N.

Finally, the commutativity of the diagram is clear in the moduli interpretation. □

Remark 17. *This lemma implies that, for* $N = 3, 4$ *and* 6*, the moduli curves* $X(N)$ *and* $X_0(N^2)$ *are identical over* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$*. This means that, given any degree* N^2 *isogeny* $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$ *defined over a field* L *containing* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$*, and decomposing* $\varphi = \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ *where* φ_i *are a degree* N *isogenies for* $i = 1, 2$*, if* $\varphi_1 : E_1 \to E$*, then there exists an elliptic curve* E' *over* L *with the same* j*-invariant than* E *and with* $E'[N](L) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ *(only for* $N = 3, 4$ *and* 6*, of course). Observe that* E *itself could not verify that* $E[N](L) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$*.*

Before we proceed to the proof of the theorems, we collect some results we will use. Observe first that, given a morphism of non-singular projective curves

$$\phi : X \to Y$$

which is a Galois cover (in the sense that it is given by a quotient map of the form $X \to X/H$, for H a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of X), and ι an involution of X, then either ι induces by ϕ an element of the Galois group H of the cover, or it induces an involution on Y.

Lemma 18. *Consider a Galois cover* $\phi : X \to Y$ *of degree* d *between two non-singular projective curves of genus* g_X *and* g_Y *respectively. Suppose that* $g_Y \geq 2$ *or* d *is odd.*

1. *If* v *is a bielliptic or an hyperelliptic involution of* X *then* v *induces an involution* ι *on* Y*.*
2. *Suppose that* $2g_X + 2 > d(2g_Y + 2)$*. Then, if* $g_X \geq 2$*,* X *is not hyperelliptic.*
3. *Denote by* n_ι *the number of fixed points of an involution* ι *of* Y*. Suppose* $2g_X - 2 > dn_\iota$ *for any involution* ι *on* Y*. Then, , if* $g_X \geq 6$*,* X *is not bielliptic.*
4. *Suppose* $2g_X - 2 > d(2g_Y + 2)$*. Then, if* $g_X \geq 6$*,* X *is not bielliptic.*

Proof. If v is in the group of the Galois cover ϕ we have the following factorization of ϕ

$$X \to X/\langle v\rangle \to Y$$

which is impossible if d is odd, since $X \to X/\langle v\rangle$ has degree 2, and also if $g_Y \geq 2$, since $X/\langle v\rangle$ has genus ≤ 1.

The remaining assertions follow from the Hurwitz's formula and the fact that the hyperelliptic involution or bielliptic involution are unique under the conditions of the genus. Suppose that X has a (unique) hyperelliptic or bielliptic involution v, which induces an involution $\tilde{v}$ on Y by (1). Then v can have fixed points only among the points lying above the fixed points by $\tilde{v}$ of Y, and hence v has at most $d.n_{\tilde{v}}$

fixed points where $n_{\tilde{v}}$ are the number of fixed points of $\tilde{v}$ on Y. By the Hurwitz's formula, the involution v must have $2g_X + 2$ fixed points in the hyperelliptic case, or $2g_X - 2$ fixed points in the bielliptic case, obtaining the result. □

The following lemmas can be easily proved by observing that both curves attain the maximal order of the group of automorphisms for their genus; the maximal order of these group for genus 3 is 164 and we proved $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1 \leq \mathrm{Aut}\,(X(7))$ and $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1| = 164$, and the maximal order of this group for genus 5 is 192 and again we proved $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1 \leq \mathrm{Aut}\,(X(8))$ and $|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1| = 192$.

Lemma 19. *The curve $X(7)$ is a genus 3 curve isomorphic to the Klein quartic which is a bielliptic curve and is not hyperelliptic.*

Lemma 20. *The curve $X(8)$ is a genus 5 curve isomorphic to the Wiman curve which is a bielliptic curve and is not hyperelliptic.*

For the bielliptic involutions for $X(8)$ see the paper [16] with a defining equation of $X(8)$ in [28, Table page 507]; it is a degree 2 map to an elliptic curve isogenous to $X_0(32)$.

Proof of Theorem 10. First of all, recall that, if $f : C \to C'$ is a non-constant morphism between non-singular projective curves such that the genus of C' is ≥ 2, and C is hyperelliptic, then C' is hyperelliptic.

Using this result and Theorem 13, we get that the modular curve $X(N)$ is not hyperelliptic for $N \geq 19$ and $N = 15, 17$. The cases $N = 7$ and 8 where considered in the Lemmas 19 and 20, respectively. These results imply also the cases $N = 14$ and 16. Now, we use lemma 3, (ii), for the Galois cover $\phi : X(N) \to X_1(N)$ to show some of the remaining cases: we have that $2g(X(N)) + 2 > N(2g(X_1(N)) + 2)$ for $N = 18, 15, 13, 11$. It remain the cases $N = 9, 10, 12$, which can be proved directly by using the equations given in [28, Table page 507], and a direct computation using `SAGE` or `MAGMA`.

Or, for a more direct approach, since $X_0(N^2)$ is never hyperelliptic if the genus is larger than 1 by Theorem 15, i.e. if $N > 7$, we get that $X(N)$ cannot be hyperelliptic unless $N = 7$, already proved in Lemma 19. □

Proof of Theorem 11. Recall the following result by Harris and Silvermann in [10]: Let $\phi : C \to C'$ be a non-constant morphism between non-singular projective curves such that the genus of C' is ≥ 2. If C is bielliptic then C' is bielliptic or hyperelliptic.

Now, one can prove the result by using the $X_1(N)$ approach, or, better, by using the map to $X_0(N^2)$ given in the Lemma 16 and the result in Theorem 15. One gets that the only possible cases are for $N = 7, 8$ or 9. The cases $N = 7$ and 8 where already considered in the Lemmas 19 and 20. It only remains to show that $X(9)$ is not bielliptic.

Recall that the genus of $X(9)$ is $10 > 6$. We want to get a map ρ, which is a Galois cover (over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)$) and verifying the conditions of the part (4) of the Lemma 18. Consider the singular model of $X(9)$ given by $y^6 - x(x^3+1)y^3 = x^5(x^3+1)^2$ [28, p.507]. Now let E' be the curve given by the equation $(t')^2 - x(x^3+1)t' = x^5(x^3+1)^2$ with $t' = y^3$. We get a map ρ from $X(9)$ to E' which has degree 3 (hence odd) and it is Galois. The curve E' is an elliptic curve isomorphic to $E : t^2 - t = x^3$ by writing $t := \frac{t'}{x(x^3+1)}$. By applying the part (4) of the Lemma 18, and since

$2g(X(9)) - 2 = 2 \cdot 10 - 2 = 18 > \deg(\rho)(2g(E) + 2) = 3 \cdot (2 \cdot 1 + 2) = 12$, we get that $X(9)$ is not bielliptic. $\square$

Remark 21. *It is possible to describe theoretically the construction in the last proof for the case* $X(9)$. *First, consider the map* $\rho : X(9) \to X_0(81)$; *it is a degree* 3 *map to a curve of genus* 4. *Then, consider the degree 3 map* $\rho : X_0(81) \to X_0(27)$, *where the target is an elliptic curve. Finally, let* E *be the elliptic curve, given by the simple equation* $y^2 - y = x^3$. *The curve* E *is 3-isogenous to the curve* $X_0(27)$. *The map* ρ *makes the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X(9) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & X_0(81) \arrow[d] \\
E \arrow[r] & X_0(27)
\end{tikzcd}$$

4. On quadratic points for $X(N)$

Recall that $g_{X(N)} \geq 2$ then $N \geq 7$. Fix an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ and we think all the models for the Riemann surface $X(N)$ defined in a number field of this fixed algebraic closure.

By [1] and theorems 11 10 we have that $\#\Gamma_2(X_N, F) < \infty$ for any number field F with $N \neq 7, 8$, where X_N is a model of a non-singular curve defined over F isomorphic to $X(N)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$

Theorem 22. *Take* $X(N)$ *the moduli problem of* N*-torsion* $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ *and we think* $X(N)$ *a non-singular curve defined over* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. *Take by* X_N *any model or a curve defined over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *isomorphic to* $X(N)$ *over* $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. *Then for all* $N \geq 7$ *the number of quadratic points of* X_N *over* M *with* $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq M \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ *is always a finite set.*

Proof. By [1] and theorems 11 10 we only need to study $N = 7$ or $N = 8$.

If $C(F) \neq \emptyset$ we have [1]: $\#\Gamma_2(C, F) = \infty$ with C a non-singular curve over F if and only if C is hyperelliptic or has a degree two morphism $\varphi : C \to E$ all defined over F with E and elliptic curve with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(F) \geq 1$.

It is know (see for example [23]) that $\operatorname{Jac}(X(7))$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$ is isomorphic to E^3, where E is the elliptic curve $y^2 + 3xy + y = x^3 - 2x - 3$, which is isomorphic to $X_0(7^2)$. Therefore, because $X(7)$ is non-hyperelliptic, the only possibility to have a non-finite of quadratic points over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$ will be that we have a degree two map $X(7) \to E'$ all defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$, with E' an elliptic curve of positive rank over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)$. But E' is isogenous to E and, in particular, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{E}'(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7)) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_7))$. But this last rank is zero, as a (2-Selmer) computation with `MAGMA` [6] or `SAGE` [27] reveals.

For $N = 8$, considering the model over $\mathbb{Q}$ given in [28, Table page 507] by defining equation $C : Y^4 = X(X-1)(X+1)(X^2+1)^2$. It is a genus 5 curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with group of automorphisms over $\mathbb{Q}$ abelian and isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. Some computations with `MAGMA` shows that the quotient with respect two of the elements give the elliptic curve $X_0(32)$ and a third element gives the elliptic curve E with equation $y^2 = x^3 + x$, of conductor 64. By [16] there are exactly 3 bielliptic involutions for $X(8)$, so these are all (in fact, we even did the computation of the genus of all the 31 involutions of $X(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ getting 4 of genus 3, 3 of genus 1 and the rest of genus 2). Now, over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$ the elliptic curves $X_0(32)$ and E become isomorphic. Hence, they have the same rank. Finally, a (2-Selmer) computation with `MAGMA` or `SAGE` reveals that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{X}_0(32)(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8))) = 0$, proving the result. $\square$

Remark 23. *A computation with the help of the* `MAGMA` *algebra system* [6] *shows that*

$$\#\Gamma_2(X(8), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)) = 24,$$

corresponding to the cusps. This result is done through computing all the quadratic points of $X_0(8^2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, which is a genus 3 curve, with Jacobian over isogenous to the cube of the elliptic curve $X_0(32)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, which has only a finite number of points over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, and work after with the degree 2 map from $X(N) \to X_0(N^2)$. We obtain that there are elements in $\Gamma_2(X_0(8^2), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8))$ which are not cusps (there are more than one hundred points), but none of them lift to a quadratic point of $X(8)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author wishes to thank G. Cornelissen for pointing him to the problem of automorphisms of $X(N)$. The first and third author would like to thank J. González for warning us on some results by Carayol, for finding a gap in a previous version of this note and for his useful suggestions and remarks on the writing of this note, also they thank E. González-Jiménez for his comments.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Abramovich and J. Harris, Abelian varieties and curves in $W_d(C)$, Compositio Math. 78 (1991), 227-238.
[2] M. Akbas and D. Singerman, The normalizer of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, Glassgow Math. J. 32 (1990), 317-327.
[3] F.Bars, Bielliptic modular curves. JNT 76, (1999), 154-165.
[4] F. Bars, The group structure of the normalizer of $\Gamma_0(N)$ after Atkin-Lehner. Communications in Algebra 36, No. 6, 2160-2170 (2008).
[5] P.Bendig, A.Camina and R.Guralnik, Automorphisms of the modular curve. Progress in Galois Theory, Dev.Math. vol.12, 25-37, Springer (2005).
[6] J.J. CANNON, W. BOSMA (EDS.), Handbook of Magma Functions. Edition 2.17-3 (2009).
[7] G.Cornelissen, F. Kato and A. Kontogeorgis, Discontinuous groups in positive characteristic and automorphisms of Mumford curves. Math. Ann.320, No.1, 55-85, (2001).
[8] N.D. Elkies, The automorphism group of the modular curve $X_0(63)$, Compositio Math. 74 (1990), 203-208.
[9] H.M. Farkas and I.Kra, Riemann surfaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 71, second edition. Springer (1992).
[10] J.Harris and J.H.Silverman, Bielliptic curves and symmetric products. Proc. Am.Math.Soc. 112, 347-356 (1991).
[11] M. Harrison, A new automorphism of $X_0(108)$, http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5595, (2011).
[12] N.Ishii and F. Momose, Hyperelliptic modular curves, Tsukuba J. Math. 15 (1991), 413-423.
[13] D. Jeon and C.H.Kim, Bielliptic modular curves $X_1(N)$, Acta Arith (2004), 112.1, 75–86.
[14] D. Jeon and C.H.Kim, Bielliptic modular curves $X_1(M, N)$. Manuscripta Math. 118, 455-466 (2005).
[15] D. Jeon and C.H. Kim, Bielliptic intermediate modular curve, preprint 2012.
[16] T.Kato, M.Magaard and H.Völklein, Bi-elliptic Weierstrass points on curves of genus 5. Indag.Math. (N.S.) 22, (2011) no.1-2, 116-130.
[17] N. M. Katz B. and Mazur, Arithmetic Moduli of Elliptic Curves. Annals of Mathematics Studies 108, Princeton University Press (1985).
[18] M.A. Kenku and F. Momose, Automorphsism groups of modular curves $X_0(N)$. Compositio Math. 65, 51-80 (1988).
[19] C.H. Kim and J.K. Koo, The normalizer of $\Gamma_1(N)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), 5303-5310.

[20] F. Momose, Automorphism groups of the modular curves $X_\Delta(N)$. Preprint.

[21] M. Newman, Struture theorem for modular subgroups. Duke Math. J. 22, 25-32 (1955).

[22] A.P. Ogg, Hyperelliptic modular curves. Bull.Soc.Math. France 102, 449-462 (1974).

[23] D.T.Prapavessi, On the Jacobian of the Klein curve. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122 (4): 971-978, (1994).

[24] C.Ritzenthaler, Automorphismes des courbes modulaires $X(n)$ en caractéristique p. Mauscripta Math. vol. 109, 49-62 (2002).

[25] J.-P. Serre, The automorphism group of X(p), London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series 254 (1998), appendix to B. Mazur, Open problems regarding rational points on curves and varieties, in *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry*, A. J. Scholl and R. L. Taylor, eds.

[26] G.Shimura, Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automophic functions. Publ. of The Mathematical Society of Japan, 11, Princeton Univ.Press (1971).

[27] W. Stein et al., Sage: Open Source Mathematical Software (Version 4.3), The Sage Group, 2009, `http://www.sagemath.org`.

[28] Y.Yang, Defining equation of modular curves. Advances in Mathematics 204 (2006), 481-508.

Francesc Bars Cortina,
Depart. Matemàtiques,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra. Catalonia. Spain.
E-mail: francesc@mat.uab.cat

Aristides Kontogeorgis,
Department of Mathematics
National and Kapodistrian
University of Athens
Panepistimioupolis, GR-157 84,
Athens. Greece
E-mail: kontogar@math.uoa.gr

Xavier Xarles,
Depart. Matemàtiques,
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
08193 Bellaterra. Catalonia. Spain.
E-mail: xarles@mat.uab.cat